1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 Case No.: 4 JOSH PASTOR, 5 Plaintiff, 6 v. 7 SANTANDER CONSUMER USA,) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 8 INC. Defendant. 10 11 12 **COMPLAINT** 13 JOSH PASTOR ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & 14 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against SANTANDER CONSUMER 15 USA, INC. ("DEFENDANT"): 16 17 **INTRODUCTION** 18 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Telephone Consumer Protection 1. 19 20 Act, 47 U.S.C. §227. 21 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 22 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which 23 states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United 24 25 States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 26 27 - 1 -PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT 28

1331, which grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

- 3. Defendant regularly conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, thus, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Natrona Heights, Pennsylvania.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 7. Defendant is a corporation with its principal place of business located at 565 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10017.
- 8. Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number.
- 11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.
- 12. Beginning in November 2014, Defendant placed repeated telephone

calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

- 13. When contacting Plaintiff on his cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system and/or pre-recorded voice.
- 14. Plaintiff knew Defendant was utilizing an automatic telephone dialing system because there was a period of silence or delay before the calls would either terminate or he would be transferred to a caller.
- 15. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes;" rather, Defendant was attempting to collect an auto loan.
- 16. During the month of November 2014, Plaintiff spoke with Defendant and revoked any consent that may have been given to Defendant to call his cellular telephone number.
- 17. Plaintiff also told Defendant's representatives that his wife was ill, and he could not afford to make payment.
- 18. Defendant proceeded to ignore Plaintiff's revocation and continued to place repeated calls to his cellular telephone.

<u>DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER</u> <u>PROTECTION ACT</u>

19. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

- 20. Defendant initiated automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system.
 - 21. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.
- 22. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, on and after November 2014, were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.
- 23. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
- 24. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
- 25. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JOSH PASTOR, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A);

1	b. Statutory damages of	\$500.00 per violative telephone cal
2	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §	227(b)(3)(B);
3		51,500.00 per violative telephone cal
4	4	-
5	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);	
6	d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);	
7	e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.	
8	8	
9		
10	<u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>	
11	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, JOSH PASTOR, demands a jurg	
12	trial in this case.	
13	Re	spectfully submitted,
14	¹	
15	DATED: February 26, 2016 Cra	: /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire aig Thor Kimmel, Esquire
16	KII 20	nmel & Silverman, P.C. East Butler Pike
17	An	nbler, PA 19002
18 19	Fac	lephone: (215) 540-8888 esimile (215) 540-8817
20	´	nail: kimmel@creditlaw.com orney for Plaintiff
21		officy for Framuit
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	- 5 -	